



Dr. Christian Manger

"E433 Advanced Macroeconomics I" (WiWi_24_25_054) - WiSe 24/25 - Wirtschaftswissenschaft


Number of responses = 42

Response rate = 70%

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Academic Program

1.1) Which course/ which courses of studies do you pursue? Multiple answers possible


| Course | Percentage | n=42 |
|--|------------|------|
| B.Sc. Economics & Business Administration | 0% | |
| B.Sc. International Business Administration | 0% | |
| B.Sc. International Economics | 0% | |
| B.Ed. Wirtschaftswissenschaft | 2,4% | |
| M.Sc. Accounting & Finance | 0% | |
| M.Sc. Data Science in Business & Economics | 0% | |
| M.Sc. Economics | 61,9% | |
| M.Sc. Economics & Finance | 21,4% | |
| M.Sc. European Economics | 11,9% | |
| M.Sc. European Management | 0% | |
| M.Sc. General Management | 0% | |
| M.Sc. International Business | 0% | |
| M.Sc. International Economics | 0% | |
| M.Sc. Management & Economics | 2,4% | |
| M.Sc. Quantitative Data Science Methods - Psychometrics, Econometrics and Machine Learning | 0% | |
| M.Ed. Wirtschaftswissenschaft | 0% | |
| BWL/VWL, Minor | 0% | |
| Other teaching degree (see next question) | 0% | |
| Other program of study (see next question) | 0% | |

1.3) Which semester are you in?




2. Course


2.1) The learning objectives have been clearly defined.




2.2) It is clear what students are required to do.




2.3) The course has increased my interest in the subject matter.



2.4) The course is well structured.




2.5) The general set-up of the teaching (e.g. room facilities and availability of learning materials) is appropriate.




3. Lecturer


3.1) The lecturer seems to be professionally competent.




3.2) The material is presented in a comprehensible manner.




3.3) The lecturer encourages the critical assessment of the content.



3.4) The lecturer is respectful of students' ideas and views.




3.5) The lecturer is equally respectful of participants regardless of their sex/nationality/ethnicity/appearance/etc.




4. Overall impression


4.1) I have increased my subject knowledge.



4.2) I give this course the following overall grade:



4.3) I give the lecturer the following grade:








Thank you for your participation! You can now submit the survey.

Profile






Subunit: **Wirtschaftswissenschaft**
 Name of the instructor: **Dr. Christian Manger**
 Name of the course: **E433 Advanced Macroeconomics I (WiWi_24_25_054)**
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean




2. Course

| | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|---|-------------------|------|---------|--------|----------|
| 2.1) The learning objectives have been clearly defined. | strongly agree |  | strongly disagree | n=42 | av.=1,4 | md=1 | dev.=0,6 |
| 2.2) It is clear what students are required to do. | strongly agree |  | strongly disagree | n=42 | av.=1,4 | md=1 | dev.=0,6 |
| 2.3) The course has increased my interest in the subject matter. | strongly agree |  | strongly disagree | n=42 | av.=1,7 | md=1,5 | dev.=0,8 |
| 2.4) The course is well structured. | strongly agree |  | strongly disagree | n=42 | av.=1,5 | md=1 | dev.=0,7 |
| 2.5) The general set-up of the teaching (e.g. room facilities and availability of learning materials) is appropriate. | strongly agree |  | strongly disagree | n=42 | av.=1,6 | md=1 | dev.=0,9 |

3. Lecturer

| | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|---|-------------------|------|---------|------|----------|
| 3.1) The lecturer seems to be professionally competent. | strongly agree |  | strongly disagree | n=41 | av.=1,3 | md=1 | dev.=0,6 |
| 3.2) The material is presented in a comprehensible manner. | strongly agree |  | strongly disagree | n=41 | av.=1,5 | md=1 | dev.=0,7 |
| 3.3) The lecturer encourages the critical assessment of the content. | strongly agree |  | strongly disagree | n=42 | av.=1,4 | md=1 | dev.=0,5 |
| 3.4) The lecturer is respectful of students' ideas and views. | strongly agree |  | strongly disagree | n=42 | av.=1,1 | md=1 | dev.=0,3 |
| 3.5) The lecturer is equally respectful of participants regardless of their sex/nationality/ethnicity/appearance/etc. | strongly agree |  | strongly disagree | n=42 | av.=1 | md=1 | dev.=0,2 |

4. Overall impression

| | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|---|-------------------|------|---------|------|----------|
| 4.1) I have increased my subject knowledge. | strongly agree |  | strongly disagree | n=42 | av.=1,4 | md=1 | dev.=0,5 |
| 4.2) I give this course the following overall grade: | very good |  | insufficient | n=42 | av.=1,6 | md=2 | dev.=0,7 |
| 4.3) I give the lecturer the following grade: | very good |  | insufficient | n=41 | av.=1,3 | md=1 | dev.=0,5 |