



# Dr. Christian Manger

"E230 Macroeconomics II" (WiWi\_24\_25\_055) - WiSe 24/25 - Wirtschaftswissenschaft


Number of responses = 32

Response rate = 53.3%

## Survey Results

### Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

### 1. Academic Program

1.1) Which course/ which courses of studies do you pursue? Multiple answers possible


Course	Percentage	n
B.Sc. Economics & Business Administration	28,1%	n=32
B.Sc. International Business Administration	3,1%	
B.Sc. International Economics	62,5%	
B.Ed. Wirtschaftswissenschaft	0%	
M.Sc. Accounting & Finance	0%	
M.Sc. Data Science in Business & Economics	0%	
M.Sc. Economics	0%	
M.Sc. Economics & Finance	0%	
M.Sc. European Economics	0%	
M.Sc. European Management	0%	
M.Sc. General Management	0%	
M.Sc. International Business	0%	
M.Sc. International Economics	0%	
M.Sc. Management & Economics	0%	
M.Sc. Quantitative Data Science Methods - Psychometrics, Econometrics and Machine Learning	0%	
M.Ed. Wirtschaftswissenschaft	0%	
BWL/VWL, Minor	6,3%	
Other teaching degree (see next question)	0%	
Other program of study (see next question)	0%	

1.3) Which semester are you in?




## 2. Course


2.1) The learning objectives have been clearly defined.




2.2) It is clear what students are required to do.




2.3) The course has increased my interest in the subject matter.



2.4) The course is well structured.




2.5) The general set-up of the teaching (e.g. room facilities and availability of learning materials) is appropriate.




## 3. Lecturer


3.1) The lecturer seems to be professionally competent.




3.2) The material is presented in a comprehensible manner.




3.3) The lecturer encourages the critical assessment of the content.



3.4) The lecturer is respectful of students' ideas and views.




3.5) The lecturer is equally respectful of participants regardless of their sex/nationality/ethnicity/appearance/etc.




4. Overall impression


4.1) I have increased my subject knowledge.



4.2) I give this course the following overall grade:



4.3) I give the lecturer the following grade:








Thank you for your participation! You can now submit the survey.

# Profile






Subunit: **Wirtschaftswissenschaft**  
 Name of the instructor: **Dr. Christian Manger**  
 Name of the course: **E230 Macroeconomics II (WiWi\_24\_25\_055)**  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean




## 2. Course

2.1) The learning objectives have been clearly defined.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=31	av.=1,6	md=1	dev.=0,8
2.2) It is clear what students are required to do.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=32	av.=1,7	md=1,5	dev.=0,7
2.3) The course has increased my interest in the subject matter.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=32	av.=2,1	md=2	dev.=1,2
2.4) The course is well structured.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=32	av.=1,6	md=1	dev.=0,8
2.5) The general set-up of the teaching (e.g. room facilities and availability of learning materials) is appropriate.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=32	av.=1,3	md=1	dev.=0,5

## 3. Lecturer

3.1) The lecturer seems to be professionally competent.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=32	av.=1,2	md=1	dev.=0,4
3.2) The material is presented in a comprehensible manner.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=32	av.=1,8	md=1,5	dev.=0,8
3.3) The lecturer encourages the critical assessment of the content.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=31	av.=1,7	md=2	dev.=0,8
3.4) The lecturer is respectful of students' ideas and views.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=31	av.=1,3	md=1	dev.=0,5
3.5) The lecturer is equally respectful of participants regardless of their sex/nationality/ethnicity/appearance/etc.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=32	av.=1,1	md=1	dev.=0,4

## 4. Overall impression

4.1) I have increased my subject knowledge.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=32	av.=1,8	md=2	dev.=0,9
4.2) I give this course the following overall grade:	very good		insufficient	n=32	av.=1,8	md=2	dev.=0,7
4.3) I give the lecturer the following grade:	very good		insufficient	n=32	av.=1,4	md=1	dev.=0,6