Dr. Christian Manger

"E230 Macroeconomics II" (WiWi_WiSe_25_26_12) - WiSe 25/26 - Wirtschaftswissenschaft

Number of responses = 48

Response rate = 80%

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Academic Program

1.1) Which course/ which courses of studies do you pursue? Multiple answers possible

n=48

B.Sc. Economics & Business Administration	33,3%
B.Sc. International Business Administration	2,1%
B.Sc. International Economics	58,3%
B.Ed. Wirtschaftswissenschaft	0%
M.Sc. Accounting & Finance	0%
M.Sc. Data Science in Business & Economics	0%
M.Sc. Economics	0%
M.Sc. Economics & Finance	0%
M.Sc. European Economics	0%
M.Sc. European Management	0%
M.Sc. General Management	0%
M.Sc. International Business	0%
M.Sc. International Economics	0%
M.Sc. Management & Economics	0%
M.Sc. Quantitative Data Science Methods - Psychometrics, Econometrics and Machine Learning	0%
M.Ed. Wirtschaftswissenschaft	0%
BWL/VWL, Minor	6,3%
Other teaching degree (see next question)	0%
Other program of study (see next question)	0%

1.3) Which semester are you in?



2. Course

2.1) The learning objectives have been clearly defined.



2.2) It is clear what students are required to do.



2.3) The course has increased my interest in the subject matter.



2.4) The course is well structured.



2.5) The general set-up of the teaching (e.g. room facilities and availability of learning materials) is appropriate.



3. Lecturer

3.1) The lecturer seems to be professionally competent.



3.2) The material is presented in a comprehensible manner.



3.3) The lecturer encourages the critical assessment of the content.



3.4) The lecturer is respectful of students' ideas and views.



3.5) The lecturer is equally respectful of participants regardless of their sex/nationality/ ethnicity/appearance/etc.



4. Overall impression

4.1) I have increased my subject knowledge.

strongly agree — 50% 35,4% 10,4% 4,2% 0% — strongly disagree



n=48
av.=1,7
md=1,5
dev.=0,8

4.2) I give this course the following overall grade:

very good — 37,5% 47,9% 12,5% 2,1% 0% — insufficent



n=48
av.=1,8
md=2
dev.=0,7

4.3) I give the lecturer the following grade:

very good — 68,1% 27,7% 4,3% 0% 0% — insufficient



n=47
av.=1,4
md=1
dev.=0,6

Thank you for your participation! You can now submit the survey.

Profile

Subunit: Wirtschaftswissenschaft
Name of the instructor: Dr. Christian Manger
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) E230 Macroeconomics II (WiWi_WiSe_25_26_12)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. Course

2.1)	The learning objectives have been clearly defined.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=47	av.=1,7	md=1	dev.=0,8
2.2)	It is clear what students are required to do.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=48	av.=1,5	md=1	dev.=0,7
2.3)	The course has increased my interest in the subject matter.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=48	av.=2,1	md=2	dev.=1,1
2.4)	The course is well structured.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=48	av.=1,6	md=1	dev.=0,8
2.5)	The general set-up of the teaching (e.g. room facilities and availability of learning materials) is appropriate.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=48	av.=1,5	md=1	dev.=0,7

3. Lecturer

3.1)	The lecturer seems to be professionally competent.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=48	av.=1,2	md=1	dev.=0,4
3.2)	The material is presented in a comprehensible manner.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=48	av.=1,5	md=1	dev.=0,7
3.3)	The lecturer encourages the critical assessment of the content.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=47	av.=1,5	md=1	dev.=0,8
3.4)	The lecturer is respectful of students' ideas and views.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=48	av.=1,2	md=1	dev.=0,5
3.5)	The lecturer is equally respectful of participants regardless of their sex/nationality/ ethnicity/appearance/etc.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=47	av.=1	md=1	dev.=0,2

4. Overall impression

4.1)	I have increased my subject knowledge.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=48	av.=1,7	md=1,5	dev.=0,8
4.2)	I give this course the following overall grade:	very good	insufficent	n=48	av.=1,8	md=2	dev.=0,7
4.3)	I give the lecturer the following grade:	very good	insufficient	n=47	av.=1,4	md=1	dev.=0,6

Comments Report

1. Academic Program

1.2) If you took "other teaching degree" or "other program of study": please give the exact name and degree:

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

4. Overall impression

4.4) What do you particularly like about this course?

- - Klamotten Wahl durchaus angenehm
  - viele neue Monster Sorten kennengelernt
  - KI Generierte Bilder auf den Folien gehen Hart
- Alles (2 Counts)
- Christian Manger
- Das sehr deutsche Englisch ;)
- Der Dozent erklärt alles sehr gründlich und macht alles verständlich
- Der Professor
- Die Folienslides
- Differenzierte Auseinandersetzung mit verschiedenen Themen.
- Drinking monster
- Herr Manger erklärt wirklich gut und nimmt sich genug Zeit um die essenzielle Konzepte zu erklären.
- Interaktiv, Folien gut verständlich, Inhalte verständlich, Dozent sympathisch, Probeklausur und Cheat Sheet toll
- Power point very clear
- Sehr gute Folien
- Sehr logisch aufgebaute und verständliche Folien, mit denen man die Inhalte auch nach der Vorlesung gut nachvollziehen kann. Professor Manger gibt das Gefühl, dass es in seinem Interesse ist, dass die Studenten seine Inhalte verstehen und sich dafür begeistern. Außerdem ist es immer sehr hilfreich, wenn es eine Probeklausur gibt.
- Sehr verständliche Erklärungen, einer der mit Abstand besten Professoren die ich bisher haben durfte!
- Teacher's jokes
- Toller Dozent!
- Wenn ich mich auf was verlassen kann, dann darauf dass der Dozent ein Monster trinken und sein schwarzes Outfit anziehen wird. Dass die Lösungen für die Tutorien hochgeladen werden aber trotzdem erklärt werden.
  Dass auf aktuelle Daten eingegangen wird, gerne mehr falls passend/möglich.

4.5) What do you dislike about this course?

- Die Erklärungen holen teilweise sehr weit aus.
  Dazu kommt dass wir den Großteil bereits in Makro I behandelt haben und das Modul demnach ziemlich redundant ist.
- Die Tutorien von Studenten halten lassen.
- Herr Manger möchte zwar, dass sich Studenten aktiver beteiligen, unterbricht aber die meisten Studenten dann bei ihrer Antwort mitten im Satz.
- It feels like the lecture dauert 3 hours instead oh 1h30
- Manchmal langes reden um das gleiche Thema, Erklärung zu lang, viele schalten ab
- Nichts
- Nothing
- Oft hat es sich sehr in die Länge gezogen

- Themen sind manchmal leider echt trocken.
- Tutorial sometimes difficult to follow because no split courses and lecturer only goes through the solution slides. It would be more helpful to write on the blackboard
- zu viel Monster… Redbull ist besser

4.6) What are your suggestions for improving this course?

- - NOCH dramatischere KI Bilder als Einstieg (z.b. eine noch brennendere Bank)
- Alles top
- Giving monster to students
- keine
- Keine Verbesserung notwendig
- Klarere Strukturierung, beziehungsweise vor allem eine Strukturierung, die für die Studierenden besser nachzuvollziehen ist -> warum machen wir das, was wir grade machen
- Maybe talk more clearly and slowly and louder
- Wenn Herr Manger pünktlich kommen würde, könnte man häufiger auch pünktlich enden :)