Dr. Christian Manger

"E170 Mikroökonomik I" (WiWi_SoSe26_064) - SoSe 2026 - Wirtschaftswissenschaft

Number of responses = 101

Response rate = 59.4%

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Academic Program

1.1) Which course/ which courses of studies do you pursue? Multiple answers possible

n=101



1.3) Which semester are you in?



2. Course

2.1) The learning objectives have been clearly defined.



2.2) It is clear what students are required to do.



2.3) The course has increased my interest in the subject matter.



2.4) The course is well structured.



2.5) The general set-up of the teaching (e.g. room facilities and availability of learning materials) is appropriate.



3. Lecturer

3.1) The lecturer seems to be professionally competent.



3.2) The material is presented in a comprehensible manner.



3.3) The lecturer encourages the critical assessment of the content.



3.4) The lecturer is respectful of students' ideas and views.



3.5) The lecturer is equally respectful of participants regardless of their sex/nationality/ethnicity/ appearance/etc.



4. Overall impression

4.1) I have increased my subject knowledge.



n=100
av.=1,6
md=1,5
dev.=0,7
ab.=1

4.2) I give this course the following overall grade:



n=101
av.=1,7
md=2
dev.=0,7

4.3) I give the lecturer the following grade:



n=101
av.=1,3
md=1
dev.=0,5

Your constructive responses to the following questions are especially valuable for the continued improvement of teaching!

If you prefer, please avoid including any information that could make you personally identifiable (e.g., personal characteristics or descriptions of very specific situations).

Thank you for your participation! You can now submit the survey.

Profile

Subunit: Wirtschaftswissenschaft
Name of the instructor: Dr. Christian Manger
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) E170 Mikroökonomik I

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. Course



2.1)	The learning objectives have been clearly defined.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=100	av.=1,5	md=1	dev.=0,6
2.2)	It is clear what students are required to do.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=100	av.=1,5	md=1	dev.=0,7
2.3)	The course has increased my interest in the subject matter.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=99	av.=2,2	md=2	dev.=1
2.4)	The course is well structured.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=100	av.=1,3	md=1	dev.=0,5
2.5)	The general set-up of the teaching (e.g. room facilities and availability of learning materials) is appropriate.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=99	av.=2,1	md=2	dev.=1,1

3. Lecturer



3.1)	The lecturer seems to be professionally competent.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=100	av.=1,1	md=1	dev.=0,4
3.2)	The material is presented in a comprehensible manner.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=100	av.=1,4	md=1	dev.=0,5
3.3)	The lecturer encourages the critical assessment of the content.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=94	av.=1,9	md=2	dev.=0,9
3.4)	The lecturer is respectful of students' ideas and views.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=96	av.=1,4	md=1	dev.=0,5
3.5)	The lecturer is equally respectful of participants regardless of their sex/nationality/ethnicity/ appearance/etc.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=96	av.=1	md=1	dev.=0,2

4. Overall impression



4.1)	I have increased my subject knowledge.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=100	av.=1,6	md=1,5	dev.=0,7
4.2)	I give this course the following overall grade:	very good	insufficent	n=101	av.=1,7	md=2	dev.=0,7
4.3)	I give the lecturer the following grade:	very good	insufficient	n=101	av.=1,3	md=1	dev.=0,5